

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/995,303	11/27/2001	Robert C. Beck	2446	1374
7590 10/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C.			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
2900 Thomas Avenue S., Suite 100 Minneapolis, MN 55416			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•		W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/995,303	BECK, ROBERT C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew F DeSanto	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 August 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for al	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,18-24 and 27-30</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,18-24 and 27-30</u> is/are rejec	ted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been r	received in this National Stage			
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. With regards to the claim objection, the examiner is viewing the claims 29, 30 and 31 in view of this last response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The 112 Rejection made in the prior office action is withdrawn because of arguments and amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 7-9, 18-24, 27- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nash et al. (USPN 6,524,323).

Nash et al. discloses inserting and advancing a sheath having a discharge lumen to a location in the vessel said delivery sheath discharge lumen coupled to a collection vessel, inserting and advancing an interventional device to a treatment location, said interventional device of type having; a therapy balloon for delivering treatment, and a gap for introducing a primary fluid flow in said vessel, said gap located distal of said

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303

Art Unit: 3763

therapy balloon; injecting fluid out of said gap to promote retrograde flow into said discharge lumen. (Figures 10, 11, 16, 17; Column 26, line 52 – Column 29, line 40 and entire reference)

As to claim 18, wherein said injection is carried out while moving said interventional device in said vessel with respect to said delivery sheath.

As to claim 9, wherein said fluid is injection at a first injection pressure above the blood pressure in the vessel and the injection fluid drops to a second exhaust pressure in said delivery catheter where said exhaust pressure is above said blood pressure, establishing a pressure gradient in said discharge lumen and promoting flow from said gap to said discharge lumen.

As to claim 27, wherein said primary fluid is supplied by a supply syringe chamber and said discharge lumen is coupled to syringe vacuum chamber, and said supply syringe and vacuum syringe are operated together to couple fluid supply with discharge lumen collection.

3. Claims 7-9, and 18-24, 27- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levin et al. (UPSN 6,592,567).

Levin et al. discloses inserting and advancing a sheath having a discharge lumen to a location in the vessel said delivery sheath discharge lumen coupled to a collection vessel, inserting and advancing an interventional device to a treatment location, said interventional device of type having; a therapy balloon for delivering treatment, and a gap for introducing a primary fluid flow in said vessel, said gap located distal of said

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303

Art Unit: 3763

therapy balloon; injecting fluid out of said gap to promote retrograde flow into said discharge lumen. (Figures 3, 7 and entire reference)

As to claim 18, wherein said injection is carried out while moving said interventional device in said vessel with respect to said delivery sheath. (Figures 3, 7 and entire reference)

As to claim 9, wherein said fluid is injection at a first injection pressure above the blood pressure in the vessel and the injection fluid drops to second exhaust pressure in said delivery catheter where said exhaust pressure is above said blood pressure, establishing a pressure gradient in said discharge lumen and promoting flow from said gap to said discharge lumen. (Figures 3, 7 and entire reference)

As to claim 27, wherein said primary fluid is supplied by a supply syringe chamber and said discharge lumen is coupled to syringe vacuum chamber, and said supply syringe and vacuum syringe are operated together to couple fluid supply with discharge lumen collection. (Figures 3, 7 and entire reference)

4. Claims 7-9, 18-24, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell et al. (USPN 5100425).

Fischell et al. discloses inserting and advancing a sheath having a discharge lumen to a location in the vessel said delivery sheath discharge lumen coupled to a collection vessel, inserting and advancing an interventional device to a treatment location, said interventional device of type having; a therapy balloon for delivering treatment, and a gap for introducing a primary fluid flow in said vessel, said gap located

distal of said therapy balloon; injecting fluid out of said gap to promote retrograde flow into said discharge lumen.

Fischell et al. also discloses an infusion means and a discharge means.

(Column 5, line 62- Column 6, line 15)

5. Claims 7-9, 18-24, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zadno-Azizi et al. (USPN 6,605,074).

Zadno-Azizi et al. discloses inserting and advancing a sheath having a discharge lumen to a location in the vessel said delivery sheath discharge lumen coupled to a collection vessel, inserting and advancing an interventional device to a treatment location, said interventional device of type having; a therapy balloon for delivering treatment, and a gap for introducing a primary fluid flow in said vessel, said gap located distal of said therapy balloon; injecting fluid out of said gap to promote retrograde flow into said discharge lumen. (Figure 24A, and entire reference)

Zadno-Azizi et al. also discloses an infusion means and a discharge means. (Figure 24A, and entire reference)

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed 8/16/04 have been fully considered and are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicant argues the "wall attachment" achieves a "Coanda effect" and this is the distinguishing feature from the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

8. The examiner has read through the claims and does not see where the limitations of a wall attachment are in the independent or dependent claims. The only language that is similar to the described language in the remarks section is that the fluid will be projected in an initial direction adjacent a wall of said elongated body, which is true in all catheters. The fluid would have to be projected in a direction adjacent a wall because the fluid would have to exit the catheter in a direction adjacent a wall. The applicant seems to be arguing that the fluid is projected through a wall attachment thus achieving the Coanda effect.

- 9. Since the limitation the applicant is arguing is not in the claim and therefore the limitation is given no patentable weight.
- 10. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303 Page 7

Art Unit: 3763

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (703) 308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 October 14, 2004

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700